UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,334	06/09/2006	Tomoya Sugita	28951.1178	4915
53067 7590 08/14/2008 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP			EXAMINER	
1330 CONNEC	TICUT AVE., NW		PETKOVSEK, DANIEL	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/582,334	SUGITA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
DANIEL PETKOVSEK	2874

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>April 29, 2008</u> is considered non-compliant be requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be item(s) is required.	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUME  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	NT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "R "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with C. Other</li> </ul>	en eliminated. Replacement drawings
<ul> <li>□ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>□ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>□ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending clai</li> <li>□ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identification of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Origina (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and</li> <li>□ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented</li> <li>□ E. Other:</li> </ul>	ier, and as such, the individual status aim must be indicated after its claim II), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance v See Continuation Sheet	with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see	MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after- entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>	
2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a prelimin (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), are Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction requinon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ary amendment, a non-final amendment 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental nd an amendment filed in response to a
<b>Extensions of time</b> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-camendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	ompliant amendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a still filed in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a prel amendment.	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 5 Other: The remarks section filed April 29, 2008 is Non-Compliant, in particular on pages 7 and 8. In Applicant's "Remarks" section, and in particular paragraphs (4) and (5), Applicant does not clearly and distinctly assert how new amended claims 1 and 17 overcome the prior art to either Suzuki '404 or Hooker '463. Applicant solely states that, "since the Office Action did not reject claim 2 over Suzuki '404 (or Hooker '463), and thus, amended claims 1 and 17 are patentable over Suzuki '404 (or Hooker '463)". This argument is not fully responsive, and further not persuasive. First, Applicant does not explicitly state what part(s) of claims 1 or 17 that Suzuki '404 (or Hooker '463) fails to teach. Second, Applicant is not clear about how this (if any) limitation patentably distinguishes claims 1 or 17 from prior art of record. Upon a cursory review of new independent claim 1 and 17, it appears that these claims do not patentably distinguish from either the Suzuki '404 or Hooker '463 reference.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. The lack of any specific argument pointing out the novelty of the current invention in view of the cited prior art may be held to be not fully responsive and a time period set to furnish a proper reply if the statutory period has expired or almost expired (MPEP 714.03).

/Daniel Petkovsek/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2874 August 12, 2008